

**REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed March 22, 2004 has been carefully considered. In that Action, claims 11, 12, and 27 were examined. Reexamination of claim 27, in light of current amendments, and reexamination of new claims 30 (claim 11 replacement) and 31 (claim 12 replacement), is respectfully requested.

**35 U.S.C. 112 (first paragraph) rejections**

The Office Action rejected claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicants have rewritten canceled claims 11 and 12 into new claims 30 and 31, respectively. Applicants redefined the compound represented by formula [I] in claims 11 and 12 to the compound represented by formula [II] in claims 30 and 31. The compound represented by formula [II] corresponds to the compound represented by formula [X], which is disclosed in Example 11 of the specification.

**35 U.S.C. 112 (second paragraph) rejections**

The Office Action rejected claims 11, 12, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Applicants have added an element to new claim 31 (claim 12 replacement) that is not contained in new claim 30 (claim 11 replacement). The basis for this amendment can be found in lines 17-22, page 16, of the specification.

Applicants have amended claim 27 to comply with the amendment proposed by the Examiner.



Creation date: 06-03-2004  
Indexing Officer: CCRUZ - CESAR CRUZ  
Team: OIPEScanning  
Dossier: 09751797

Legal Date: 06-02-2004

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A...	2
2	CLM	3
3	REM	4

Total number of pages: 9

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on .....